

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,601	12/12/2003	Jonathan F. Smith	95-02	2496		
	7590 04/23/200 VINNER AND SULLIV	EXAM	EXAMINER			
4875 PEARL EAST CIRCLE			KELLY, R	KELLY, ROBERT M		
SUITE 200 BOULDER, C	O 80301	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1633				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/23/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/735,601	SMITH ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ROBERT M. KELLY	1633				

	ROBERT M. KELLY	1633						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request					
	a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
n) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	lension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the confusion of the proposed amendment of the after a final rejection, the confusion of the proposed amendment of the proposed am	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-19</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-15 and 20-31.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. Maint and the reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments concerning issuance of new reject requiring such rejection(s) in an IDS on 8/80/7, and in preclude finality of the action. Moreover, the other action requires further consideration, and the application is not maintained. Lastly, it is noted that Applicant's terminal of the application is not application.	tion(s) are not considered persuasi oviding such IDS, paid the fee, and nents require consideration of the n a state for such further consideration	ve, as Applicant ident therefore, the rejection newly claimed subject on. Hence, the rejection	ified the Art ons did not matter which					

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______
13. \[\] Other: _____.

/Robert M Kelly/ Acting Examiner of Art Unit 1633

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080420

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least proposed claim 16 provides for making an expression library of a tumor cell as a step, which has not been required before, and hence, the scope of what is encompassed by such step requires further consideration, as well as consideration for new matter. Still further, as the withdawn claims have not been withdrawn, even if Applicant should overcome all rejections of record, further consideration would be required.